**ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS** 

4th "08" Ves Donn

M03417, F&BI 812096 lyzed: 12/10/08

I THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

pH

7.39

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 12/26/08 Date Received: 12/09/08

812096-04

Project: Stormwater, PO M03417, F&BI 812096

Date ExtractedDate Analyzed: 12/10/08

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

Sample ID
Laboratory ID

M03417D

7.39

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 12/26/08 Date Received: 12/09/08

Project: Stormwater, PO M03417, F&BI 812096

Date Analyzed: 12/10/08

# RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TURBIDITY USING METHOD SM2130B

Results Reported as NTU

Sample ID Laboratory ID	Date <u>Sampled</u>	Time <u>Sampled</u>	Turbidity
M03417D 812096-04	12/09/08	1330	42.5
Method Blank			<0.5

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:

M03417A

Date Received: Date Extracted: 12/09/08 12/10/08

Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:

12/11/08 Water

ug/L (ppb)

Alaskan Copper Works

Project:

Stormwater, PO M03417, F&BI 812096 812096-01 x10

Lab ID: Data File:

812096-01 x10.036

Instrument:

ICPMS1 Operator: hr

Lower Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Internal Standard:

Germanium

% Recovery: 112

Concentration

ug/L (ppb)

Chromium Nickel Copper Zinc

Analyte:

29.0 192 696 1,300

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/26/08 Date Received: 12/09/08

Project: Stormwater, PO M03417, F&BI 812096

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH BY METHOD 9040C

Laboratory Code	e: 812096-04 (Du	plicate)		Assentance
	Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance Criteria
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	
пН	7.39	7.47		0-20

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 12/26/08 Date Received: 12/09/08

Project: Stormwater, PO M03417, F&BI 812096

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TURBIDITY USING METHOD SM2130B

Laboratory Code: 812096-04 (Duplicate)

				Relative	
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Turbidity	NTU	42.5	41.1	3	0-20

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 12/26/08 Date Received: 12/09/08

Project: Stormwater, PO M03417, F&BI 812096

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 812077-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative	
		Sample	Duplicate	Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	1.55	1.53	1	0-20
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	6.39	6.60	3	0-20
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	241	250	4	0-20
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	845	863	2	0-20
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	2.88	3.01	4	0-20

Laboratory Code: 812077-01 (Matrix Spike)

			Percent								
		Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance						
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria						
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.55	98	50-150						
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	6.39	91 b	50-150						
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	<b>241</b>	129 b	50-150						
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	845	142 b	50-150						
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	2.88	97 b	50-150						

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		· 1 · · · · · · · ·	Percent	
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	70-130
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	70-130
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	96	70-130
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	88	70-130
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	99	70-130

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

#### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- is The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,\mathrm{nm}$  The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

812096			SAM	IPLE CH	AIN OF	CUS	TOD	Y	_	146	: 1	01	17/	UB.			716
Send Report To Gerry Thompson  Company Alaskan Copper Works  Address 628 South Hanford				PROJECT NAME/NO. STORM LASTER REMARKS					> PO# MU3417				Page #of  TURNAROUND TIME  Standard (2 Weeks)  RUSH Rush charges authorized by:  SAMPLE DISPOSAL				
City, State, ZIP Seattle.  Phone # 382-8379								☐ Dispose after 30 days ☐ Return samples ☐ Will call with instructions									
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	Total Cr, Cu, Ni, Zn by 6010	Oil and Grease by 1664 (no silica)	m	Total Lead by 6020		A.	41A	ĮESTI	עפ	-		Notes
M03417A	<b>20</b>	12/9/08	1:30	1/20	1	X											,
M03417B	02.	12/9/08	1:30	420				X	X								
m03417c	1	12/2/08	1:30	H20	1		A -			X							
M034AD	64	1 2 ,	1:30	H20							Χ	X	1				
		1 1															

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West

Seattle, WA 98119-2029

Ph. (206) 285-8282

Fax (206) 283:5044

			:
1/ SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE TIME
Relinguisted by:	(SCRAZO TRONGSON	ACW	12/5/08 2:27 PM
Received by:  Mi au au	Nhan Man	FEBI	12/9/0x V
Relinquished by:			
Received by:			:





#### LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715

FAX: (206) 632-2417

FBI0406:FBI00340

CASE FILE NUMBER:

FB1003-40

PAGE 1

REPORT DATE:

12/23/08

DATE RECEIVED:

12/10/08

DATE SAMPLED:

12/09/08

FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETERS ON WATER

SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO. 812096

#### CASE NARRATIVE

Two water samples were received by the laboratory in good condition. Analysis was performed according to the chain of custody received with the samples. No difficulties were encountered in the preparation or analysis of these samples. Sample data follows while QA/QC data is contained on the following page.

#### SAMPLE DATA

	FOG	HARDNESS
SAMPLE ID	(mg/l)	(mgCaCO3/l)
M03417B		103
M03417A	30.0	



## AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED

#### LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103 PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:

FBI003-40

PAGE 2

REPORT DATE:

12/23/08

DATE RECEIVED:

12/10/08

DATE SAMPLED:

12/09/08

FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETERS ON WATER

SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO. 812096

#### QA/QC DATA

QC PARAMETER	FOG	HARDNESS				
	(mg/l)	(mgCaCO3/1)				
METHOD	EPA 1664	EPA 130.2				
DATE ANALYZED	12/18/08	12/23/08				
DETECTION LIMIT	2.00	2.00				
	1.15					
DUPLICATE						
SAMPLE ID		BATCH				
ORIGINAL		41.8				
DUPLICATE		41.2				
RPD	NA	1.41%				
		1.1				
SPIKE SAMPLE						
SAMPLE ID		BATCH				
ORIGINAL		41.8				
SPIKED SAMPLE		61.0				
SPIKE ADDED		20.0				
% RECOVERY	NA	95.77%				
QC CHECK	1.					
FOUND	7.40	39.1				
TRUE	8.00	40.0				
% RECOVERY	92.50%	97.72%				
BLANK	< 2.00	< 2.00				

RPD = RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE.
NA = NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE.

NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT.

OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TO LOW RELATIVE TOO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION

SUBMITTED BY

Laboratory Director

## SUBCONTRACT SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Send Report To Michael Erdahl	SUBCONTRACTER A4. les	Page # of! TURNAROUND TIME	
Company Friedman and Bruya, Inc.	PROJECT NAME/NO.	PO#	✓ Standard (2 Weeks)  □ RUSH
Address3012 16th Ave W	812096	4-1667	Rush charges authorized by:
City, State, ZIP Seattle, WA 98119	REMARKS		SAMPLE DISPOSAL  □ Dispose after 30 days
Phone #(206) 285-8282Fax #(206) 283-5044	Please Email Results merdahl@friedmanandbruya.com	☐ Return samples ☐ Will call with instructions	
	ANALYS	ES REQUESTED	)

									ANA	LYSE	SREG	UES	TED			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of jars	Oil and Grease	ная	HdA	Nitrate	Sulfate	Alkalinity	Handress			Notes	
M03417B		12/9/08	1:30	V	T							X				
MU3417A			1	<i>اسا</i>	l	×										
								er		rig.						
				,				A.								٦
		,														
			:													
													·			
																7
														 		$\exists$
					·											

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West

Seattle, WA 98119-2029

Ph. (206) 285-8282

Fax (206) 283-5044

SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
Relinquished by	Michael Erdahl	Friedman & Bruya	12/0/08	3:45
Received by	S. DIHSON	ARE	12/10/08	1500
Relinquished by:				
Received by:				·

ALASKAN COPPER WORKS  RECORD OF VISUAL MONITORING  Completed By * Geraus Thompson  Title Environmental Asst.  Date Dec., 9th 2008  *Must be completed by qualified person Identified in the SWPPP  List observed pollutants in all discharges and carefully consider the pollutant sources and action steps needed to control the pollutants						
Date	Surface Discharge ID	Ground Discharge ID	List of observed pollutants and descriptions of intensities of each. include floatables, oil sheen, discolorization, turbidity, odor, etc. in the SW	Recommended Action Steps		
12/9/08			No NOTICABLE ORDOR	Site: CB 331707		
	·		No FLORTABLES			
			WATER SLIGHTLY GRZY	m-03417		
			SURAT OIL Residue			
			REDNEZU Gyzerten than			
			2/oth of a Inch			
Name C	enzld-	1 Hompson	Title Europe Ass Signature	Date Signed 12/5/08		